| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | 09/844,268 | BOSWORTH ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Quang Nguyen, Ph.D. | 1633 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: After-Final | | |
| (1) Allice O. Martin. | (3) | , · |
| (2) Quang Nguyen. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>17 November 2006</u> | Time: Afternoon | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: 2, 6 and 12 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER Examiner contacted attorney Alice O. Martin on 11/16/06 to disus allowance. On 11/17/06, attorney Martin accepted amendments a | s claim amendments so that the a | application is in conditions for |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| • | | |
| | | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 2 10 | | |
| (Examiner/SPE-Signature) (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Signature | gnature – if appropriate) |